
EVALUATION OF RISKS AND VULNERABILITIES UNDER MULTI-HAZARD THREATS IN A PROTECTED AREA OF BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

*Emil Sever GEORGESCU¹, Cristina Olga GOCIMAN²,
Iolanda-Gabriela CRAIFALEANU^{3, 1}, Mihaela Stela GEORGESCU⁴,
Cristian Iosif MOSCU⁵, Claudiu Sorin DRAGOMIR^{6, 1},
Daniela DOBRE^{7, 1}*

¹ Ph D, Eng., National Institute for Research and Development URBAN-INCERC, Bucharest, Romania

² Ph D, Arch., Professor, "Ion Mincu" University of Architecture and Urban Planning, Bucharest, Romania

³ Ph D, Eng., Associate Professor, Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Romania,

⁴ Ph D, Eng., Associate Professor, "Ion Mincu" University of Architecture and Urban Planning, Bucharest, Romania

⁵ Ph D Student, Arch., "Ion Mincu" University of Architecture and Urban Planning, Bucharest, Romania

⁶ Ph D, Eng., Lecturer, University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Land Reclamation and Environment Engineering, Bucharest, Romania

⁷ Ph D, Eng., Lecturer, Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Romania,
Email:emilsevergeorgescu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

As it is well known, Romania and its capital, Bucharest, suffered building collapses, heavy damage and casualties in the 1940 and 1977 Vrancea earthquakes. The paper presents methods for the assessment of urban multi-hazard risks for emergency planning, using affordable tools. The scenarios for night and day time impact in the pilot study protected area have shown that:

- Earthquake damage and casualties can be significant; for the seismic intensity $I = VIII$, a number of 63 buildings would suffer considerable damage, while for $I = VIII\frac{1}{2}$, the damage would affect 165 buildings, mostly low-rise structures. The number of heavy injured persons would be 29 and 64, while live loss will be 59 and 92, respectively, for the considered intensities. The damage would affect safety of living for about 1,809 to 3,061 people.
- The scenario of climatic and hydrologic hazards is dominated by an extreme event of accidental flooding, in which the water cover could be as high as 2.5 m;
- The terrorist blasting scenario has shown that, as the explosive quantity increases, the impacts would have great potential of damage, injured people and casualties.

Keywords: multi-hazard scenarios, Romania, Bucharest, earthquake vulnerability, terrorist blasting, casualties, impacts mapping

REZUMAT

După cum se știe, în România și în capitala sa, București, cutremurele vrâncene din 1940 și 1977 au cauzat prăbușirea unor clădiri, avarieri grave și victime. Articolul prezintă metode pentru evaluarea riscurilor urbane la multi-hazard în scopul planificării intervențiilor de urgență bazate pe utilizarea unor instrumente cu costuri accesibile. Scenariile pentru impactul pe durata zilei și a nopții într-o zonă de studiu pilot au arătat că:

- avarierile și victimele rezultate în urma seismului pot fi semnificative; pentru intensitatea seismică $I = VIII$, un număr de 63 de clădiri ar suferi avarieri considerabile, în timp ce pentru $I = VIII\frac{1}{2}$, avarierea ar afecta 165 de clădiri, în special cu regim redus de înălțime. Numărul persoanelor grav rănite ar fi de 29, respectiv 64, iar decesele ar fi în număr de 59, respectiv 92, pentru intensitățile considerate; avarierea ar afecta siguranța vieții a cca 1809, respectiv 3061 persoane;
- scenariul hazardurilor climatice și hidrologice este dominat de un eveniment extrem de inundație accidentală, în care adâncimea apei ar putea fi de 2.5 m;
- scenariul de explozie teroristă a arătat că, pe măsură ce cantitatea de explozibil crește, impactul ar avea un potențial mai mare de avariere și de producere de răni și decese.

Cuvinte cheie: Scenarii multi-hazard, România, București, vulnerabilitate seismică, explozie teroristă, victime, cartografierea impactului

1. BACKGROUND OF STUDY

1.1. Hazards, risks and resilience vs. community stakeholders in public policies

Recognizing and evaluating hazards and associated risks is a first step in emergency management planning. Thus, risk assessment has become a field of rather specialized and sophisticated approaches, while the speed of urban life and changes usually prevent the timely identification of threats.

Romania and its capital, Bucharest, suffered building collapses, heavy damage and casualties in the 1940 and 1977 Vrancea earthquakes (Balan et al, 1982, Georgescu. and Pomonis, 2008). In the case of Romania, many urban districts have been exposed to natural hazards, and the long-term damage impact is visible, while other parts of them have undergone rather forced changes, governed by political or market pressure. The current urban planning – and even Civil Protection approaches, that relies only on the enforcement of laws and codes – proved to be less efficient, since the community stakeholders do not participate in the risk reduction processes. When threats become realities, communities often lose a part of their heritage, while people suffer alienation when evacuated in other locations and being unable to participate in the recovery of their own neighborhoods. There is a need of a comprehensive view about emerging urban risks (Georgescu et al, 2010).

Resilience is a key-word of recent decades, but tools are still in other hands. The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 (2015), reiterated the states commitment to disaster risk reduction and that the building of resilience to disasters should be addressed with a renewed sense of urgency in the context of sustainable development. The need of integration into policies, plans, programmes and budgets at all levels and of consideration within relevant frameworks was stressed out again. (<http://www.wcdrr.org/uploads/...>).

In this respect, Italy 2015 TIEMS Conference (<https://tiemsic.wordpress.com/>

international-conference-2015/) addresses important issues, as:

- decision support systems for risk management in the cold and hot phases;
- preparedness and resilience enhancement in growing metropolitan areas, with a due questioning about the “smart cities” pattern;
- system resilience, efficiency, social inclusion, sustainability, risk reduction and mitigation techniques, validation and verification methodologies and tools, human and social aspects in emergency managements.

The paper attempts to provide methods for the assessment of urban multi-hazard risks for emergency planning, using affordable technical tools and keeping the community closer to the risk management.

1.2. The specific patterns of protected area under study and the research to-date

The patterns of the studied area (Figure 1), located in Bucharest, in front of the Romanian Parliament, have been presented elsewhere (Florescu et al, 2014; Georgescu et al, 2014, 2015; Gociman et al, 2014, 2015). This is an example of an area that suffered a huge urban trauma in the 1980's by the total or partial demolition of an urban fabric of more than 450-hectares of the old city, to build the new East-West axis of the city and the new headquarters of several public institutions.

The building stock in the area is diverse and dates from different periods. The pre-1950 traditional building stock consists of low-rise brick masonry buildings, with gardens and yards, mixed with some mid-rise masonry and reinforced concrete mansions.

The post-1950 buildings consist of mid-rise and high-rise concrete and masonry condominiums. After 1980's, many high-rise buildings have been erected around the areas with low-rise and mid-rise houses remaining after demolition. It is important to note that, after the political changes at the end of 1989, the area was formally declared a protected area; in fact, it is under urban stagnation and decay.



Fig. 1. Map of the studied area

The area was and can be at risk because of earthquakes, floods, extreme climatic events, technological and terrorist explosions, dangerous chemical spilling. For the case of post-earthquake intervention, and much more in the case of multi-hazard impact, it is very difficult to set the target of reconstruction, since the destructured fabric needs special care. As a first attempt of our study (Florescu et al, 2014; Georgescu et al, 2014; Gociman et al, 2014), the cultural value was assessed by using 13 scoring criteria and six scaling stages of the Romanian Law of Monuments. The functional value was evaluated and mapped, as in Figure 2, for the existing building classes.




Fig. 2. Mapping of the functional value for the study area

2. EVALUATION OF MULTI-HAZARD THREATS AND IMPACT SCENARIOS IN THE PILOT STUDY PROTECTED AREA

2.1. Natural and man-made hazards

Geological scenario hazards were considered as:

- seismic hazard from intermediate Vrancea source, in two alternatives, as intensity VIII (as in March 4, 1977; Balan et al, 1982; Sandi et al, 2008) and VIII ½ (in order to take into account the cumulative vulnerability);
- local soil hazards, as liquefaction and/or settlements of foundations.

Climatic and hydrologic hazards were considered as:

- snow, snow-storm, urban vortex-tornado, raising water;
- rain and excess water floods;
- flooding from accidents occurring outside the study area, as the breaking of a water control and supply lake dam, under heavy rain, with lack of gates control.

Man-made hazards were considered as:

- explosions with chemical release, under Seveso Directive in the city, reaching the protected area;
- moderate spilling of ammonia gas;
- explosions in the gas network or in houses, or moderate LPG recipients etc;
- terrorist attack on public institutions, with impact upon neighboring communities, a rather new type of hazard, but proven by recent international situations.

Hazard by urban fire: from houses and other buildings, with propagation and combination with other hazards.

2.2. Results of multi-hazard scenarios

For earthquake scenario damage and casualties, the study used specific vulnerability functions for earthquake impact, calibrated and adjusted after Balan et al, 1982; Sandi et al, 2008, and simplified methods and expert opinions for other hazards, under an average occupation ratio per house. When relevant for

exposure, some hypotheses of day and night have been used.

As a result of assessments, the number of buildings with significant damage from earthquake was accounted for the alternatives of I=VIII as being around 63 and 165 buildings for I=VIII½, mostly low-rise structures, while heavy injured are 29 and 64 persons, live loss is 59 and 92, respectively, resulting some 1809...3061 evacuated persons from such unsafe buildings, indicating a need of shelter. However, since the number of people from heavy damaged houses is only of some 355...677 and houses have gardens and yards, some shelter can be arranged nearby.

The day-time scenario lead to exposure and casualties reduced by 50% in houses, but it was evaluated an extra exposure of 10.120 persons in public institutions around, and 1.000 clients in commercial places, 1.400 in offices and 800 in schools, while 400 can be in churches. Since such buildings are less vulnerable, there are only 26 light injuries and 1 hospital entry.

According to these results, although the number of heavy damage is not excessive, the scenario for I= VIII½ indicates a need of investigations for safety assessment and possibly a great number of temporary or long-term evacuation for repairs and structural strengthening, this community is protected but already weak and heritage buildings need careful and time-consuming works.




Fig. 3. Setting of Lake Lacul Morii and the path towards the study area (marked with a triangle) (Project URBASRISK, 2014-2015)

The scenario of climatic and hydrologic hazards is based on the hypothesis of an extreme event of accidental flooding in case of Lake Lacul Morii breaking (Drobot et al, 2007). The water supply lake Lacul Morii, in the Western part of Bucharest, has a concrete dam with a height of 15 m, 7 km of earth dikes, 14.7 millions cubic meters volume and it discharges towards the city Dambovită River Canal that passes near the study area. An early warning can save the community persons, but not the built area.

The water cover can be as high as 2.5 m and all 2 story houses as well as first 2 stories of condominium would be under water (Figures 3 and 4). Because this scenario is beyond the reaction capacity of the community, and its probability is reduced because of permanent monitoring and water control by gates, it was not further evaluated.




Fig. 4. Flooding scenario from Lake Lacul Morii, having impact on study area and beyond (Project URBASRISK, 2014-2015)

The scenarios for explosions in other places of Bucharest, having impact on the study area, considered the following potential hazards from various tanks with gases or chemicals:

- type BLEVE explosion (Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion);
- type UVCE explosion (Unconfined Vapour Cloud Explosion).

In Bucharest, such sources can be in thermal power plants, large storage of toxic

gases (ammonia gas NH_3 , chlorine gas, sulphuric acid) or GPL storages. According to Rufat, 2009, such plants and storages exist in other areas but their nominal release radiuses cannot reach the study area.

The terrorist scenario blasting considered three hypotheses:

- explosive in quantity to be carried by one person (backpack);
- explosive in quantity to be carried by a compact car;
- explosive in quantity to be carried by a urban van.

In this respect, a number of six scenarios were considered, with the said three hypotheses for some particular location of an institution as a target, in each of the two separate sub-zones. The radiuses of impact were evaluated after IABTI - International Association of Bomb Technicians and Investigators, (<https://www.iabti.org/>). These scenarios considered some particular locations that can be a target; it resulted that such impacts would affect very large and densely inhabited areas of irreparable damage, with fragmentation and debris spreading (Figures 5, 6 and 7).




Fig. 5. Radiuses of specific zones in a specific location within the study area. Position B – Scenario S 4.4 - case 1 –Explosive in quantity to be carried by one person (backpack). (Project URBASRISK, 2014-2015)

In figures 5, 6 and 7, the legend is as follows:

- D – radius of demolition impact
- DI – irremediable demolition radius
- DN – non-repairable radius
- DM – minor destruction radius
- DF – minimum protection radius against fragments / debris impact.




Fig. 6. Radiuses of specific zones in a specific location within the study area. Position B – Scenario S 4.4 - case 2 –Explosive in quantity to be carried by a compact car (Project URBASRISK, 2014-2015)




Fig. 7. Radiuses of specific zones in a specific location within the study area. Position B – Scenario S 4.4 - case 3 –explosive in quantity to be carried by a urban van (Project URBASRISK, 2014-2015)

The results have shown that, as the explosive quantity increases, the impacts would affect very large and densely inhabited areas by irreparable damage, with fragmentation and debris spreading, with great potential of injured and casualties. However, the impacts are more or less nominal, and they depend on the height of existing structures on the blast flow path, as some buildings could provide a shielding effect.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The multi-hazard interdisciplinary approach allowed the assessment of the functional value, as well as of the seismic vulnerability, for the existing building classes, with cultural value scored on the six scaling stages of the Romanian Law of Historical Monuments. The approach used affordable engineering methods for structural vulnerability assessment and mapping, in a multi-hazard environment and provided an operative tool for vulnerability assessment, using both past surveys of vulnerability data and inspection of buildings and a number of reduction or amplification factors to obtain the Site-Adjusted Damage Degree, GAMA, based on the Mean Damage Degree, GA. Thus, a mapping of specific building attributes was done, by using the URBASRISKdb geodatabase, census and field data and by creating a basis for urban zonation on disaster protection criteria.

The multi-hazard scenarios used in the assessment were:

- for seismic hazard, Vrancea earthquakes with intensity VIII and VIII ½;
- local soil hazards, liquefaction and/or settlements;
- climatic and hydrologic hazards, as snow, snow-storm, vortex, raising water, rain and flooding;
- man-induced hazards, as explosions with chemical release, gas explosions, terrorist attacks on public institutions and urban fire.

The advantages of the multi-hazard scenarios reside in their ability of providing a comprehensive view of threats and a synthetic

mapping of impacts and risks. The risk reduction strategies developed in the framework of the project are structured on emergency and perspective terms, based on the use of structural and non-structural methods and envisaging long-term interventions, as maintenance, improvement and transformation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for this research was provided by the Romanian Ministry of Education and Scientific Research - UEFISCDI Agency, in the framework of the National Plan for Research, Development and Innovation, PNCDI II, “Parteneriate” Programme, Project URBASRISK - “Urban Blocks in Central Protected Area in Multiple Hazard Approach - Assessment, Mapping and Strategies for Risk Mitigation. Case Study: Bucharest Destructured Zone by Razing Occuring in the Communist Period”, under Contract No. 53/2012.

A version of this paper with title “Risks and vulnerabilities under multi-hazard threats in a protected area of Bucharest, Romania” was presented orally during The International Emergency Management Society 2015 Annual Conference, 30th September - 2nd October 2015, Rome, Italy.

REFERENCES

1. Andersen, C., Radon 222 Exhalation from Danish building materials: Industrial Results, RISO R 1135, 1999.
2. Balan, S., Cristescu, V., and Cornea, I., (coordinators) (1982) The Romania Earthquake of March 1977 (in Romanian, with English abstract). Editura Academiei, Bucharest, Romania.
3. Drobot, R., Amaftiesei, R., Alexandrescu, M. I., Cheveresan, B. (2007) Modelling the effect of a scenario of Lake Lacul Morii breaking (in Romanian - Modelarea efectului unui scenariu de cedare a barajului Lacul Morii), Hidrotehnica, vol. 52, nr. 12, pag. 8-14 3.
4. Florescu, T., Moscu, C. I., Gociman, C. O., Georgescu, M. S., Dragomir, C. S., Craifaleanu, C. S., Georgescu, E. S. (2014) Urban Heritage and

- Multi-Hazard Threats. Case Study of Seismic Vulnerability Assessment and Mapping in a Protected Area of Bucharest, Romania. Proc. The 1st Huixian International Forum on Earthquake Engineering for Young Researchers, August 16-19, 2014, Harbin, China.
5. Georgescu, E.S. and Pomonis, A. (2008) The Romanian Earthquake of March 4, 1977 Revisited: New Insights into its Territorial, Economic and Social Impacts and their Bearing on the Preparedness for the Future, Proc. 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, October 12-17, 2008, Beijing, China.
 6. Georgescu, E.S., Georgescu, M. S., Albota E. (2010) Towards a multi-hazard engineering and architectural-urbanistic design in seismic zones of Romania, Proc. 14-th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Ohrid, Macedonia, August 29-Sept. 3, 2010.
 7. Georgescu, E. S., Gociman, C. O., Craifaleanu, I. G., Georgescu, M. S., Moscu, C. I., Dragomir, C. S., Dobre, D. (2015) Emergency preparedness for a protected area in Bucharest, using multi-hazard scenarios and impact mapping, The 2-nd International Conference on Dynamics of Disasters, June 29-July 2, 2015, Kalamata, Greece.
 8. Georgescu, E. S., Gociman, C. O., Craifaleanu, I. G., Florescu, T., Moscu, C. I., Georgescu, M. S. , Dragomir, C. S. (2014) Urban Heritage Value and Seismic Vulnerability Mapping: Challenges for Engineering and Architectural Assessments. Case Study of a Protected Area in Bucharest, Romania, Paper no. 431, "Earthquake Risk Mitigation Policies and Methodologies" (EAEE Session), Second European Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Seismology, 2ECEES, Istanbul, 24-29 August 2014. ISBN 978-605-62703-6-9.
 9. Georgescu, E. S., Gociman, C. O., Craifaleanu, I. G., Florescu, T., Moscu, C. I., Georgescu, M. S. , Dragomir, C. S. (2015) Urban heritage value and seismic vulnerability mapping: challenges for engineering and architectural assessments. Case study of a protected area in Bucharest, Romania. CONSTRUCTII nr. 1/2015 pp. 30-39
 10. Gociman, C. O., Florescu, T., Moscu, C. I., Georgescu, E. S. (2014) Decisions on Building Stock Survival and Conservation in a Multi-Hazard Environment: Cultural and Functional Identity vs. Safety and Environment Values in Protected Areas. Proc. International Conference in Climate Change, Ecology, and Conservation (ICCCEC 2014). The Venetian Macao, Macau, China, February 13-14, 2014.
 11. Gociman, C. O., Moscu, C. I., Georgescu, E. S. (2014) The relation between identity and vulnerability values in carrying out interventions in protected urban areas. The 9-th International Conference on Urban Regeneration and Sustainability. Sustainable City 2014, 23 – 25 September, Siena, Italy.
 12. Gociman, C. O., Georgescu, E. S., Florescu, T., Craifaleanu, I. G., Moscu, C. I., Georgescu, M. S. (2015) Concept of a community security centre in the multi-hazard environment of a protected area in Bucharest, Romania. Proc. 4th International Conference on Disaster Management and Human Health: Reducing Risk, Improving Outcomes. 20 - 22 May 2015. Istanbul, Turkey. Proceedings of Disaster Management 2015 - Disaster Management and Human Health Risk IV, 360pp (Print ISBN: 978-1-84564-926-5; eISBN: 978-1-84564-927-2) are available from WIT Press. Online at the WIT eLibrary in Volume 150 of WIT Transactions on the Built Environment (ISSN: 1746-4498 Digital ISSN: 1743-3509). <http://library.witpress.com>
 13. Gociman, C. O., Georgescu, E. S., Florescu, T., Moscu, C. I., Craifaleanu, I. G., Georgescu, M. S. (2015) Structural Methods for Multi-Hazard Risk Reduction in Protected Central Areas of Cities. Proceedings of the 5-th International Conference on Building Resilience, July 15-17, 2015, Newcastle, Australia.
 14. IABTI - International Association of Bomb Technicians and Investigators, (<https://www.iabti.org/>)
 15. Project URBASRISK - Project „Urban Blocks in Central Protected Area in Multiple Hazard Approach - Assessment, Mapping and Strategies for Risk Mitigation. Case Study: Bucharest Deconstructed Zone by Razing Occurring in the Communist Period” 2012-2015. UAUIM and UEFISCDI Agency. Retrieved on March 28, 2015 from [http://www.uauim.ro/cercetare/urbasrisk/en/\(2014\)](http://www.uauim.ro/cercetare/urbasrisk/en/(2014)).
 16. Rufat, S. (2000) Estimation relative de la vulnérabilité urbaine à Bucarest. Environnement,

- ville et société, Université de Lyon. N° 95 (3-2009).
<http://mappemonde.mgm.fr/num23/articles/art09301.html>
17. Sandi, H., Pomonis, A., Francis, S., Georgescu, E. S., Mohindra, R., Borcia, I. S. (2008) Development of a nationwide seismic vulnerability estimation system. Proc. Symp. Thirty Years from the Romania Earthquake of March 4, 1977, Bucharest, Romania, March 1-3, 2007, CONSTRUCTII, No. 1/2008, pp. 38-47, Retrieved from <http://constructii.incerc2004.ro/Archive/2008-1/2008-1-5.pdf>
18. Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030. A/CONF.224/CRP.1. Third World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction, 14 - 18 March 2015, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan, accessed on March 28, 2015, at http://www.wcdrr.org/uploads/Sendai_Framework_for_Disaster_Risk_Reduction_2015-2030.pdf
19. TIEMS - 2015 TIEMS Conference (<https://tiemsic.wordpress.com/international-conference-2015/>)